

APPEAL PANEL DECISION FORM

I. CLAIMANT AND CLAIM INFORMATION

Claimant Name	<small>Last/Name of Business</small> [REDACTED]	<small>First</small>	<small>Middle</small>
Claimant ID	[REDACTED]	Claim ID	[REDACTED]
Claim Type	Business Economic Loss		
Law Firm	[REDACTED]		

II. DECISION

Select the Compensation Amount set forth in either BP's Final Proposal or the Claimant's Final Proposal as the final outcome on the claim and check the appropriate box to signify your decision.

<input type="checkbox"/> BP's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$39,648
	Risk Transfer Premium	1.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claimant's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$52,456.35
	Risk Transfer Premium	1.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0

III. PRIMARY BASIS FOR PANELIST DECISION

Please select the primary basis for your decision. You may also write a comment describing the basis for your decision.

- Error in documentation review.**
- Error in calculation.**
- Error in RTP multiplier.**
- Error in Prior Spill-Related Payment Amount.**
- No error.**

Comment (optional):

Claimant is a Zone D, Tourism related Pizza Restaurant located in [REDACTED] Florida. The Administrator awarded it \$52,456.35 pre-RTP for its BEL claim. No Policy 495 indicia were triggered. BP filed the instant appeal asserting that the Administrator improperly classified the Supplies Expense as fixed when it should have been variable if any of these expenses went to the cost of pizza production. This issue has no merit. A review of the accountant vendor notes clearly reflects that inquiry was made into expense items and those that went into

COGS were labeled as variable. Further, a review of the expenses classed as fixed varied less than 6% when compared to the reduction in revenues that occurred after the spill. There were no notable matching issues so the BEL methodology was used to calculate the award. The award of the Administrator is affirmed.