



APPEAL PANEL DECISION FORM

2013-2027

I. CLAIMANT AND CLAIM INFORMATION

Claimant Name	Last/Name of Business	First	Middle
	[REDACTED]		
	[REDACTED]		
Claimant ID	[REDACTED]	Claim ID	[REDACTED]
Claim Type	Business Economic Loss		
Law Firm	[REDACTED]		

II. DECISION

Select the Compensation Amount set forth in either BP's Final Proposal or the Claimant's Final Proposal as the final outcome on the claim and check the appropriate box to signify your decision.

<input type="checkbox"/> BP's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$0
	Risk Transfer Premium	.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claimant's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$42,255.79
	Risk Transfer Premium	.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0

III. PRIMARY BASIS FOR PANELIST DECISION

Please select the primary basis for your decision. You may also write a comment describing the basis for your decision.

- Error in documentation review.**
- Error in calculation.**
- Error in RTP multiplier.**
- Error in Prior Spill-Related Payment Amount.**
- No error.**

Comment (optional):

BP appeals the BEL award to claimant, a [REDACTED] church in [REDACTED]. Although BP asserted several grounds for appeal in its notice of appeal, only one issue is pursued in its supporting brief : the inclusion of impermissible items in the computation of measurable revenues. Claimant is a non-profit



organization and BP contends such revenue items as Sunday offerings, holy day offerings, capital pledges, diocesan subsidies and other donated funds should have been excluded in computing claimant's income and compensation award. These sources of revenue targeted by BP are certainly typical means by which a church sustains its financial existence; and inclusion of these revenue items in deriving the compensation award does not offend the Settlement Agreement or Policy Decision 307. There is no error. Remand is not appropriate. The award is affirmed and the appeal of BP is denied.