



**APPEAL PANEL DECISION FORM**

**I. CLAIMANT AND CLAIM INFORMATION**

<b>Claimant Name</b>	Last/Name of Business [REDACTED]	First [REDACTED]	Middle
<b>Claimant ID</b>	[REDACTED]	<b>Claim ID</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Claim Type</b>	Business Economic Loss		
<b>Law Firm</b>	[REDACTED]		

**II. DECISION**

Select the Compensation Amount set forth in either BP's Final Proposal or the Claimant's Final Proposal as the final outcome on the claim and check the appropriate box to signify your decision.

<input type="checkbox"/> <b>BP's Final Proposal</b>	<b>Compensation Amount</b>	<b>\$21,423</b>
	<b>Risk Transfer Premium</b>	<b>1.50</b>
	<b>Prior Payment Offset</b>	<b>\$62,743.38</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Claimant's Final Proposal</b>	<b>Compensation Amount</b>	<b>\$34,703.66</b>
	<b>Risk Transfer Premium</b>	<b>1.50</b>
	<b>Prior Payment Offset</b>	<b>\$62,743.38</b>

**III. PRIMARY BASIS FOR PANELIST DECISION**

Please select the primary basis for your decision. You may also write a comment describing the basis for your decision.

- Error in documentation review.**
- Error in calculation.**
- Error in RTP multiplier.**
- Error in Prior Spill-Related Payment Amount.**
- No error.**

**Comment (optional):**

Claimant is a Zone A, Non-Tourism, real estate broker located in [REDACTED] Florida. The Policy 495 criteria were triggered and the Vendor Accountants utilized the AVM methodology to recommend an award to the Administrator. BP appealed and objects to the award asserting a proper correction of the matching would likely have reduced the award. BP offers its own methodology of assuming the listings of the Claimant stayed on the market for four months and then matched the expenses to that revenue. That method does not appear in the

Settlement Agreement and will not be considered here. The parties were aware a large number of realtor claims were pending and BP could have attempted to negotiate this method or that the Professional Services Methodology be applied to those claims. It did not do so. The Claims Administrator has consistently utilized the AVM and this Appeal Panel has, on a majority of the appeals of those cases, affirmed its use. The award is affirmed.