



APPEAL PANEL DECISION FORM

I. CLAIMANT AND CLAIM INFORMATION

Claimant Name	Last/Name of Business	First	Middle
	████████████████████ ██████████		
Claimant ID	██████████	Claim ID	██████████
Claim Type	Business Economic Loss		
Law Firm	████████████████████		

II. DECISION

Select the Compensation Amount set forth in either BP's Final Proposal or the Claimant's Final Proposal as the final outcome on the claim and check the appropriate box to signify your decision.

<input type="checkbox"/> BP's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$0
	Risk Transfer Premium	.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claimant's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$39,916.90
	Risk Transfer Premium	.25
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0

III. PRIMARY BASIS FOR PANELIST DECISION

Please select the primary basis for your decision. You may also write a comment describing the basis for your decision.

- Error in documentation review.**
- Error in calculation.**
- Error in RTP multiplier.**
- Error in Prior Spill-Related Payment Amount.**
- No error.**

Comment (optional):

The Claimant is a Zone D, Non-Tourism manufacturer and distributor of scales used to weigh fish. Sales are primarily to large sporting goods stores like Cabela's and Bass Pro Shops. The Claimant's business is located in ██████████ Alabama. The Administrator's vendor accountants found insufficient matching of revenues/expenses and proceeded to evaluate the claim under Policy 495 using the AVM methodology. BP filed this appeal urging

remand to the Administrator for additional inquiry into certain differences between revenue entries in the P/Ls and the General Ledger. The vendor accountants made inquiry to the Claimant and were satisfied that the P/Ls were accurate and that the Claimant's tax returns were taken directly from those figures. The General Ledger is taken from QuickBooks that have not had the adjusting entries entered from the Claimant's accountants. This Panelist is satisfied as well and the award is affirmed.