



APPEAL PANEL DECISION FORM

I. CLAIMANT AND CLAIM INFORMATION

Claimant Name	Last/Name of Business [REDACTED]	First	Middle
Claimant ID	[REDACTED]	Claim ID	[REDACTED]
Claim Type	Business Economic Loss		
Law Firm	[REDACTED]		

II. DECISION

Select the Compensation Amount set forth in either BP's Final Proposal or the Claimant's Final Proposal as the final outcome on the claim and check the appropriate box to signify your decision.

<input type="checkbox"/> BP's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$11,952
	Risk Transfer Premium	2.00
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claimant's Final Proposal	Compensation Amount	\$25,756.92
	Risk Transfer Premium	2.00
	Prior Payment Offset	\$0

III. PRIMARY BASIS FOR PANELIST DECISION

Please select the primary basis for your decision. You may also write a comment describing the basis for your decision.

- Error in documentation review.**
- Error in calculation.**
- Error in RTP multiplier.**
- Error in Prior Spill-Related Payment Amount.**
- No error.**

Comment (optional):

Claimant is a Zone B, Tourism related gift shop located in [REDACTED] Louisiana. The Vendor Accountants reviewed the claim and after Policy 495 triggered criteria requiring restatement of the Claimants' P/Ls, the claim was reduced approximately fifty per cent. BP appealed and requested remand for further investigation of differences between the sales tax returns and the P/Ls. A review of the accountants' notes reveals sufficient matching and investigation was completed by the vendors. Of interest, the Claimant agreed in its briefing to

reduce its award even further if BP would accept and drop its demand for remand. The offer went unaccepted so under the Baseball Rules, the Claimants' final proposal as awarded by the Administrator is affirmed.